
Q1 After reading the above quote, do you agree to KCSC members having staggered terms?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Agree (1)	95.74%	45
Disagree (2)	4.26%	2
TOTAL		47

BASIC STATISTICS					
Minimum 1.00	Maximum 2.00	Median 1.00	Mean 1.04	Standard Deviation 0.20	

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	I don't necessarily disagree but it is very unclear how start dates work with staggering finish dates - how will their work differ?	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
2	How will it be decided which seats get which therm 2021, 2021 or 2023? New members term starts when they do or do they continue the term of the seat they are filling?	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
3	This will definetly assist with transitions and continuity.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
4	If you're committed then be committed, doesn't seem right for some people to stay on longer then others.	1/24/2020 1:16 PM
5	The A B C group terms should only run for the cycle afte new representatives are to be elected for three year terms.	1/24/2020 11:41 AM


Q2 If you agree to staggered terms, should it be implemented at the next Annual General Assembly (AGA) or at a Special Meeting called for that purpose?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
AGA	82.61%	38
Special Meeting	17.39%	8
TOTAL		46


SurveyMonkey

Q3 Which group are you a member of:




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Parent/Legal Guardian of a child/children on the KEC nominal roll	46.81%	22
Post-Secondary Student over eighteen (18+)	10.64%	5
Community Member	42.55%	20
TOTAL		47

Q4 Currently, a KCSC member can serve up to three (3) years in a term. Should there be a limit on how many consecutive terms a KCSC representative can serve?(Draft Constitution, Article 4, Section 1)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	70.21%	33
No	29.79%	14
TOTAL		47

Q5 If you answered no to question #4, please advance to the next question. If you answered yes to having consecutive terms, how many consecutive terms should there be?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
One Term (3 years)	21.05%	8
Two Terms (6 years)	47.37%	18
Three Terms (9 years)	15.79%	6
Unlimited	15.79%	6
TOTAL		38


Q6 At an AGA or any Special Meeting, what is the acceptable minimum number of participants for a vote to pass where a major decision has to be made?(Draft Constitution, Article 10; By-Law 6, Section 1; KERA, Part 20)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONS	SES
Minimum 50 people in attendance	34.04%	16
50 percent plus 1 (50% + 1) of people in attendance (Ex. 30 people in attendance = 16 people to pass vote)	27.66%	13
50 or more (50+) people in agreement with the decision	36.17%	17
TOTAL		47


#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	I think in the past this is where the KCSC ran into issues and to avoid this in the future it's important to have more than 50 people decide major decisions.	2/21/2020 11:00 AM
2	There also needs to be ways to vote that are more accessible - not just through physical meetings	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
3	Brigs would help keep it a fair vote.	2/12/2020 1:26 PM
4	Attendance at meetings fluctuates from year to year, even meeting to meeting. It is impossible to set a specific number of people to be in attendance before a vote can be passed. Some issues will never be passed otherwise.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
5	Propossed passing of major decisions should be communicated to parents and community members in advance with ample time	2/5/2020 7:34 AM
6	Out of 50 or more in attendance, 50%+1. E.G.: 56 in attendance requires 29 in favour to pass.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
7	It is extremely difficult to get even 50 people to attend. If major decisions need to be made, you may consider other ways to get feedback from parents.	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
8	Given this Act will affect the entire population of Kahnawake, whether they have children in the school system or not, 50 or more people, or as large a number of the population as possible, should be in attendance and agreement with this Act.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
9	The number of parents can be the same for all decissions (example 50% + of app parents affected in order to cancel an existing program or service offered)	1/24/2020 11:41 AM
10	Nobody goes because they dont want to argue with those who speak loudly, etc so have the decisions made at the meeting be ratified through a Parent survey or something.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM

Q7 Should Post-Secondary students over the age of eighteen (18+) be allowed to nominate, second and vote for their representative at an AGA or Special Meeting, since they now have a seat on the KCSC?(Draft By-Law 1A.; By-Law 3, Section 2A.I c), d) & E)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	47
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		47


Q8 Should Community members be allowed to nominate, second and vote for the Community Representative at an AGA or Special Meeting, since they now have a seat on the KCSC?(Draft By-Law 1A; By-Law 3, Section 2A. I c), d) & E)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	89.36%	42
No	10.64%	5
TOTAL		47


SurveyMonkey

Q9 If you answered yes to question # 8, do you agree that their name be verified on the Kahnawà:ke Kanien'kehá:ka Registry?(Draft By-Law 1A, E)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	81.82%	36
No	18.18%	8
TOTAL		44

Q10 Should Community members be allowed to participate and vote at Special Meetings to discuss impact issues and decisions for major matters, since they now have a seat on the KCSC?(Draft By-Law 6, Section 1; KERA Part 17 #122, Part 19 #128, Part 20 #129 a)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	82.98%	39
No	17.02%	8
TOTAL		47

Q11 Should Post-Secondary students over age eighteen (18+) be allowed to participate and vote at Special Meetings to discuss impact issues and decisions for major matters, since they now have a seat on the KCSC? (Draft By-Law 6, Section 1; KERA Part 17 #121, Part 19 #127, Part 20 #128 a)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	95.74%	45
No	4.26%	2
TOTAL		47

Q12 The KCSC may have ex-officio members who they interact with, for example, an Elders Advisory Committee. Should there be an Elders Advisory Committee?(Draft By-Law 1 B)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	63.83%	30
No	36.17%	17
TOTAL		47


Q13 If you answered yes to question #12, should there be guidelines/criteria setting out the Elders Advisory Committee's role and responsibility within the Constitution? Please indicate yes or no and your suggestions in the space below.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	86.11%	31
No	8.33%	3
TOTAL		36

#	SUGGESTIONS	DATE
1	Have new elders, the existing ones where there too long.	2/21/2020 2:50 PM
2	I feel like too many committees ends up resulting in everything remaining the status quo. A lot of meetings to discuss all of these committees, but what does each committee actually end up getting done?	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
3	As a board that participates in reviewing legislation or assisting in drafting new legislation or mandates	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
4	Sometimes the elders advisory committee is biased and there needs to be more specifications to have a more diverse group	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
5	The elders who will it on the EAC should have a fundamental concern for our children's education and their futur for the next 7 gnerations	2/16/2020 4:43 PM
6	They should be people who have a lot of knowledge on education in town.	2/6/2020 10:23 AM
7	They can only give advice, and not direct the KCSC.	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
8	EAC must do political, financial and trend studies as holistic wisdom can contribute to tie- breaker decisions.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
9	Advice not answers.	1/29/2020 5:30 PM
10	Must be past kcsc members	1/29/2020 2:56 PM
11	Elders should have left in good standing, have had extensive experience working within the education system in the past(l.e. retired directors, etc.)	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
12	To ensure these are elders who had a major role in the development of Kahnawake Education, and have the background history of the KCSC.	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
13	Elders have great knowledge, but to make decisions regarding schools, I don't see how they would fit in.	1/24/2020 1:52 PM
14	Not sure. Need items to pick from.	1/24/2020 10:21 AM


Q14 If a KCSC member has to be replaced, should temporary replacements be allowed, taking into account that this may cause issues with confidentiality and consistency within the KCSC? Please indicate yes or no and your comments in the space below.(Draft By-Law 3, Section 4)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	34.04%	16
No	65.96%	31
TOTAL		47


#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	Temporary replacements, like the longhouse seats, should have a time limit of one(1) month to be filled.	2/21/2020 11:00 AM
2	If a replacement is needed, make them a permanent replacement. No need to go through the voting process to elect a temporary member, and then vote again for a permanent one. All this to replace one person.	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
3	No major decisions until full quorum	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
4	How would a temp be chosen? How will there be a way to eliminate bias in choice of temp replacement?	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
5	Look at who ran for the board and have the person who got the 2nd most votes to sit on the board	2/16/2020 4:43 PM
6	A new member should replace the person and sit for the 3 year term	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
7	Temporary replacements will cause those issues and not be beneficial in the long run. Consistency is best.	2/12/2020 1:26 PM
8	How serious would they be about the issues if they are only temporary?	2/6/2020 10:23 AM
9	A full table is needed to make important decisions. To wait for a candidate to step forward, posting of the seat, setting up an election takes too much time. The person who takes that seat temporarily should be from 1 of the 3 schools, requested through the In-Schools.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
10	Members represent their group so they should be in the job for the whole term, not just to fill in a seat	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
11	For confidentiality purposes, I believe the seat can stay vacant until another official member is sitting in the position	2/5/2020 9:47 AM
12	Character and intentions are vital for temporary positions.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
13	public records should state why the person needs to be replaced	2/4/2020 4:19 PM
14	Make due until the election unless its within the first 6 months then go to the second place person in the voting.	1/29/2020 5:30 PM
15	By way of another nomination and vote	1/29/2020 12:46 PM
16	New member should be nominated and voted in at next AGA	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
17	I don't know. I only put 'no' because there are only 'yes' and 'no' as options.	1/27/2020 4:49 AM
18	All processes should stop For a time limit, until a Replacement is found.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
19	Alternates can be appointed to attend meetings, keep up to date, and replace a committee member when needed.	1/24/2020 6:49 PM
20	If it is an appointed seat	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
21	Temp replacement to be updated on issues	1/24/2020 1:52 PM
22	should run a by election to fill the ballance of the term	1/24/2020 11:41 AM
23	I didnt really understand questions 7-14 as I dont know how any of this works, but the survey forced me to answer.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM

Q15 Any former KEC/KCSC employee or tendered contract employee wishing to run or be appointed as a KCSC representative must agree to sign a former employment record waiver to be verified by the KEC Human Resources (HR) department to ensure they left in good standing. How far in advance of an election/appointment should they apply in order to get their record verified?(Draft By-Law 3, Section 3 A v)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Two weeks	50.00%	23
Three weeks	50.00%	23
TOTAL		46


Q16 Currently a KCSC representative cannot actively hold a seat on any other community or governing board. Should this continue? Please indicate yes or no and your comments in the space below.(Draft By-Law 3, Section 3 B iv)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	31.91%	15
No	68.09%	32
TOTAL		47

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	why would this effect their ability to be on the KCSC. If anything they would have more experience	2/21/2020 1:15 PM
2	This is a hard question to answer, it depends on the person. Some people can handle the workload of being on multiple committees, some can't.	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
3	Having board members with other board duties may be beneficial under the circumstance.	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
4	There needs to be more connection with other community organizations - sometimes there is a lack of knowledge/communication from other groups and it causes more issues/misunderstanding	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
5	You shouldn't be giving your volunteers restrictions	2/21/2020 9:49 AM
6	It should read 'it is recommended ' not that they can't if they they they could be on another board they should be able to decide on their own	2/16/2020 4:43 PM
7	To avoid, however unintentional, the sharing of confidential matters. There is a positive to sitting at other tables, information and program sharing but it runs the risk over sharing.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
8	Someone who sits on other boards may have experience and knowledge that can help the KCSC.	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
9	Those individuals can contribute a lot and bring a lot of their knowledge to the board	2/5/2020 9:47 AM
10	KCSC rep responsibilities present a full plate already. A rep could network with other governing boards to maximum extent.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
11	I sat on kscs as well as being on step by step board, it became too much I left the daycare one	1/29/2020 2:56 PM
12	Work of the KCSC is demanding and conflicts with other board meetings may occur.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
13	The community needs volunteers on boards. If they can manage and are effective, they should be allowed to sit on another board	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
14	I don't know. I answered 'no' because there were only yes and no as options. For question #15 (no comment space available) you only gave two choices for time in advance to apply to have record verified (2 weeks or 3 weeks). I would have chosen 1 week had the option been available.	1/27/2020 4:49 AM
15	Do not see the issue of sitting in one or more community boards	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
16	KCSC is a very demanding and busy group. I think it is important to be aware of the peak demands and ensure that issues can be moved forward without worry of not having quorum due to other committee meetings	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
17	Why can't they be on another board?	1/24/2020 1:52 PM
18	Why limit them if they serve on other boards	1/24/2020 12:48 PM
19	by restriction these people you are placing the KCSC at a disadvantage	1/24/2020 11:41 AM
20	We need the best and sometimes the best are on other committees, restricting them from being here.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM
21	Eager participants should be allowed to sit on other Boards as long as it doesn't interfere with the KSCS Board. Ex. Meetings that occur on the same nights.	1/24/2020 10:21 AM


Q17 Reference is made to holding a mid-year Kahnawake Schools meeting with parents/guardians of students attending those schools along with the Director of Education, Principals, In School Committee and possibly staff. It states a mid-year meeting "may" be held in regards to Kahnawake schools. Should it remain optional or should it become mandatory?(Draft By-Law 6; Draft KERA Part 7 #57 j)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Optional	53.19%	25
Mandatory	46.81%	22
TOTAL		47

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	It's hard enough getting people to come for the AGA (unless there's drama), no need to create another meeting (and the stress that comes with it) that nobody will show up for.	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
2	Mid terms are mandatory for students, may be they also should be mandatory for administration	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
3	Again it needs to be accessible for those who cannot physically attend but it can help with the current miscommunication issues	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
4	I think kec should keep parents updated on happening in kec system. More accountatability from director of education amd principals.	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
5	It is important that all parties get together and discuss issues that are directly related to their school. It should create a positive atmosphere of everyone truly working together for the betterment of their students.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
6	KEC website tool can suffice if read with teamwork mentality. Polarity develops thru yellow journalism by social media unfortunately.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
7	If there are problems that need addressing it should be called.	1/29/2020 5:30 PM
8	It is difficult to get parents at one meeting within a school year.	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
9	Given the community is entrusting employees of the school system with our children, we should have a chance to Hear successes, failures, and pose questions on bad behaviors.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
10	Never a good outcome when you force people to do anything.	1/24/2020 6:49 PM
11	Education is a very demanding field. Many are in school in addition to working and have family commitments. It is not easy to have to worry about additional mandatory meetings. There is a route for communication of issues that exists within the KEC already.	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
12	When it comes to the schools, meeting should be mandatory.	1/24/2020 1:16 PM
13	These things rarely accomplish anything. Cause more problems.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM
14	There are already in-school committees in each school and the AGA. This is sufficient.	1/24/2020 10:21 AM


Q18 Some decisions made by the KCSC require a majority of members, for example, removal of an officer or reversing decisions. Majority decisions requires a minimum two-thirds (2/3) of the KCSC. At times, there may be vacant seats on the KCSC. Should majority decisions be based on a minimum of two-thirds (2/3) of a full KCSC table of twelve members (8 members = 2/3 of 12) or a two-thirds (2/3) majority of active members excluding vacant seats? (Ex. If there are 7 active KCSC members out of 12; this would mean 4 KCSC members will be voting on a decision)(Draft By-Law 6, Section 2; Draft KERA Part 8 #68 c)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Two-thirds (2/3) of full 12 seat KCSC	63.83%	30
Two-thirds (2/3) of active members	34.04%	16
TOTAL		47


#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	Yes because if a there was a major issue like the director sexually assaulted a student or teacher then this matter would be urgent. And waiting to fill vacant seats is just not warranted.	2/21/2020 2:50 PM
2	what if the 2/3 of active members have their own agenda and they scared off all the good minded people?	2/21/2020 1:15 PM
3	There are times when quorum is required due to urgent matters and it makes good governance.	2/21/2020 11:00 AM
4	Ideally a full board should make decisions	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
5	It's not good if there are only a few making decisions, it means not all groups are being part of the decision and their voice is not being represented.	2/6/2020 10:23 AM
6	Full seats. This is to ensure that the table have an in-depth discussion and to eliminate possible stacking of the table to vote a certain way or passing important issues while seats (=votes) are vacant. 1-2 seats vacant is unfortunate but better than 4-5 vac anent seats.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
7	Decisions that are more important than others need a full table of 12.	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
8	Fast -tracking is sometimes necessary. Active members carry this decision. Intimidation by naysayers could be nulified by good mind intentions.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
9	That's how corruption happens	1/29/2020 2:56 PM
10	Decisions would be made by consensus.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
11	So if there is an urgent decision, the table would have to wait months (this could happen) before a table is filled. There must be some other alternative or processes to support two thirds of an active table decision	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
12	Granted that there is a minimum amount of active members.	1/27/2020 4:49 AM
13	Making decisions should reflect a full seat of KCSC	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
14	Consensus models should be sought because KCSC usually runs on GROUP THINK and the louder pushy people get their way	1/24/2020 10:47 AM

Q19 Reference is made to an Independent Resolution Process (IRP) which is currently under development. Should the reference remain but with a note indicating it may only come into effect in the future, or should it be taken out until it is fully developed?(Draft By-Law 9; Draft KERA Part 14 #108-110)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Remain	55.56%	25
Remove	44.44%	20
TOTAL		45

Q20 Currently, In School Committee minutes are stored by each schools respective Principal with a copy sent to the KCSC Administrative Assistant who stores them in the KEC archives. Should the minutes also be distributed to In School Committee members, School Administration, Directors, and the KCSC?(Draft KERA Part 6 #44 c)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	80.85%	38
No	19.15%	9
TOTAL		47

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	Only to the in school committee.	2/21/2020 2:50 PM
2	By email, please, no need to print paper that's going to pile up and eventually end up in the recycling.	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
3	Perhaps store them in a location where they are accessible to committee members and parents. I don't think we should be distributing anything by paper anymore. And minimizing the amount of distribution is probably best. If Directors would like to see the minutes they should have access to the same location as the committee. Same goes for KCSC	2/21/2020 9:49 AM
4	Kcsc and director doesnt need to know what happens at in school meetings. Our k sc reps are always at our meetings and they know what's going on in meetings	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
5	Parents of each school can read the minutes of the In-School at the school reception but can not have a copy. Directors have in the past "tried" to use In-School minutes to make reprimands of staff/administration and various other actions. People who attended and speak on issues should be in fear of reprimand or negativity for participating. There is a protocol and chain in which information is shared with all parties and that should remain in place.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
6	There should be nothing in the meetings that can't be shared publicly.	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
7	They're probably not going to read them anyways. They can request minutes by email if they want them.	2/5/2020 9:25 AM
8	Inclusiveness has merits. Knowledge helps with clarity of issues and direction.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
9	I've always advocated to share the files to the mass school	1/29/2020 2:56 PM
10	They should be public for community with omitting names	1/29/2020 12:46 PM
11	Minutes would be made available with the consent of the KCSC.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
12	There is nothing within these meetings that should not be shared. There are not supposed to be any HR matters discussed	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
13	The whole point of keeping minutes is to ensure accuracy of the meeting proceedings, Transparency must be paramount.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
14	I think the route of communication for the principal to disseminate or report to either the director or kcsc is adequate.	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
15	Make it public. Remove names or confidential info.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM
16	I'm sure KSCS already have heavy agendas and do not need added items.	1/24/2020 10:21 AM

Q21 Reference is made to children who are home-schooled. Currently there are no students on the KEC nominal roll being home-schooled. Do you think this possibility of home-schooling should be explored further?


(Draft KERA Part 1 #3 iv, #4, Part 7 #62 f, Part 8 #69 e)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	78.72%	37
No	21.28%	10
TOTAL		47


#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	Education is a collective action, making home schooling an option takes a way from the collective.	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
2	It is better to be prepared so when the situation does arise that students are being homeschooled we will be ready	2/21/2020 9:49 AM
3	Parents should have the option to home school their children. Amd should have the full support from kec	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
4	If parents want to home-school they should be able to get help with it.	2/6/2020 10:23 AM
5	If there are parents that want this opition it should be made available.	2/5/2020 12:27 PM
6	We have not fully developed our public schools potential yet. Home schooling is up to the parent(s) knowing that there are out of norms costs.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
7	Policy should be prepared in the event a parent wishes to home school their child.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
8	There are many factors to consider before putting this in; maybe prior analysis first?	1/28/2020 7:51 PM
9	It is the parents choice and responsibility on how to educate their children; this choice must be protected.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
10	I think there should be supports in place for parents who choose to home school their children, or have communication with the KEC about how they would like to be supported.	1/24/2020 2:37 PM
11	I hear bullying is still a big problem. The bully should be punished, but nothing ever gets done and the bully continues. For their well being, some children are better off to be home schooled so they don't have to deal with being bullied.	1/24/2020 1:52 PM
12	past expeirence showed me parents do not do what is asked of them. An educator has to be hired to monitor student progress.	1/24/2020 10:21 AM

Q22 Should the reference to home-schooling be removed from KERA and added at a later date if and when it comes in to effect?(Draft KERA Part 1 #3 iv, #4, Part 7 #62 f, Part 8 #69 e)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Remove	28.26%	13
Remain	71.74%	33
TOTAL		46

Q23 Reference is made to the possibility of having a Post-Secondary, KEC Adult Education and Vocational program. Do you think this possibility should be explored further?(Draft KERA Part 8 #69 b, Part 12, Part 13 #94)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	80.00%	36
No	20.00%	9
TOTAL		45

Q24 Should the reference to the possibility of having a Post-Secondary, KEC Adult Education and Vocational program be removed and added at a later date if and when it comes in to effect? (Draft KERA Part 8 #69 b, Part 12, Part 13 #94)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Remain	66.67%	30
Remove	33.33%	15
TOTAL		45


Q25 The KERA restricts amount of monies borrowed or loaned by the KCSC to one million dollars per transaction. Following an open and public process, parents may approve any transaction exceeding this amount, taking into account all financial and operational recommendations/ramifications. Should this remain as the amount and requirement?(Draft KERA Part 13 #99 a)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	69.57%	32
No	8.70%	4
Comment(s)	21.74%	10
TOTAL		46

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	They should consult parents for any amount over \$450,000.00	2/21/2020 2:50 PM
2	The amount should be lowered to \$500,000	2/21/2020 11:00 AM
3	Are there frequently requests for just-under \$1m? For instance, are amounts around \$750,000 frequently being spent? If so, then the amount parents are approving should be lowered.	2/21/2020 10:27 AM
4	I think an explanation accompanying this amount is helpful	2/21/2020 9:56 AM
5	I believe this should be determined by administration and the KCSC not the public	2/21/2020 9:49 AM
6	IDK. This seems like a decision that needs more context to be appropriately answered.	2/5/2020 9:25 AM
7	Allow for cost of operations inflation only until Federal formulas follow growth of Educational dividens.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
8	I don't know.	1/27/2020 4:49 AM
9	It should not be more than 25,000 in total for any project or initiative	1/24/2020 11:41 AM
10	Dont really know the context here. An example would have helped	1/24/2020 10:47 AM


Q26 "Where relevant and feasible,......the Professional Development (PD) will be opened up to interested parents". Do you agree with this statement, keeping in mind it will require strict guidelines and criteria? (Draft KERA Part 4 #39 b)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	63.04%	29
No	26.09%	12
Comment(s)	10.87%	5
TOTAL		46

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	And who decides what is relevant and feasible? I think up to 4 annually of PD course/information session/etc should be offered to parents. And I would cut the travel for PD to under \$10,000. It's more feasible to bring in PD for KEC teachers/staff then it is to send only a handful to attend, the budget is too high and that money can be allocated to the students.	2/21/2020 11:00 AM
2	If parents are willing and interested in participating why wouldnt we encourage them	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
3	Not sure when or why PD may be opened to parents, unless they aspire to be a TA or teacher.	1/29/2020 12:29 PM
4	I don't know.	1/27/2020 4:49 AM
5	Dont know what is being asked here, like many questions prior	1/24/2020 10:47 AM

Q27 The KCSC and/or the KEC, as well as parents/guardians may propose amendments to the KERA and Constitution & By-Laws. Should Post-Secondary students (18+) be able to propose amendments now that they hold a seat on the KCSC?(Draft KERA Part 20 #129, Constitution Article 10)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	97.83%	45
No	2.17%	1
TOTAL		46

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	They have an interest in kec too	2/15/2020 8:55 PM
2	Of A Good Mind needs to be a prerequisite.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
3	As adult members of the community, rights are entrenched and ensured. This must be protected.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
4		1/24/2020 1:52 PM

Commented [DR1]: Removed as inappropriate

Q28 The KCSC and/or the KEC, as well as parents/guardians may propose amendments to the KERA and Constitution & By-Laws. Should Community members also be able to propose amendments now that they hold a seat on the KCSC?(Draft KERA Part 20 #129, Constitution Article 10)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	78.26%	36
No	21.74%	10
TOTAL		46

#	COMMENT(S)	DATE
1	Anyone who holds a seat should be given the option to discuss and vote on amendments	2/21/2020 9:49 AM
2	As members of the KCSC they have the right. It comes with the seat. Since there is not a comment section I will ask here: Will there be more surveys? This one has barely touched the important issues: giving the KCSC more authority of Education, setting up an ombudsman for handling all grievances, giving the KCSC the ability to over see some operational decisions. These are the important issues that need to be dealt with in all these documents.	2/5/2020 6:14 PM
3	Protocol and organi-gram explains that KCSC is their voice. There is a redundancy.	2/5/2020 7:29 AM
4	As parents and participants in the Kahnawake educational system, not unlike the MCK elections, community meme era must be allowed active participation in the education system of our children and adults.	1/26/2020 9:51 AM
5	Survival School students should be taking provincial exams. Why is Survival School run by the 207 longhouse? Students who graduate from there struggle in post secondary.	1/24/2020 1:52 PM
6	This survey is very technical and complex for the aveerage person. You really have to know how the KCSC functions to answer. I am extremely frustrated by the whole KCSC and have basically given up, dont get involved and really dont care anymore. It's so messed up and so far from where we need to be.	1/24/2020 10:47 AM